

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/898,570	07/03/2001	Valerie L. Gerlach	15966-776 CIP (CURA-276 C	2892
30623	7590 05/05/2004	EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LE	EVIN, COHN, FERRIS, ( D, P.C.	BRANNOCK,	MICHAEL T	
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication exacerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/898,570	GERLACH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Brannock	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	TON.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of the common than the common that the common than the common than the common than the common than the co	municat
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	=	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits
closed in accordance with the practice ur	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
to be seen as a fine of			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
· · _		y the Examiner.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	] accepted or b)∏ objected to b		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1.121

rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119
2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)	)
---------------	---

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:

### Election/Restrictions

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 29, 32, 41-44, 69, 72, 75 (as the claim relates to polypeptides) drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 5-14, 30, 33, 45-54, 70-73, 75 (as the claim relates to polynucleotides)drawn to polynucleotides and host cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- III. Claims 15-17, 31, 34, 55-57, 71, 74, 75 (as the claim relates to antibodies) drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 388.22.
- IV. Claims 18, 37, 58, 78, drawn to methods of detecting a polypeptide, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
- V. Claims 19, 38, 59, 79, drawn to methods of detecting a polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claims 20-22, 35, 36, 60-62, 76-77, drawn to methods of binding to an modulating the activity of a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.
- VII. Claims 23-28, 39, 40, 63-68, 80-82, drawn to methods of treatment, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is

deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups I-III are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct. Further, the protein of Group I can be prepared by processes which are materially different from recombinant DNA expression of Group II, such as by chemical synthesis, or by isolation and purification from natural sources. Additionally, the DNA of Group II can be used other than to make the protein of Group I, such in gene therapy or as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assays. The protein of Group I can be used in materially different methods other than to make the antibody of Group III, such as in therapeutic or diagnostic methods (e.g., in screening). Finally, although the antibody of Group III can be used to obtain the DNA of Group II, it can also be used in materially different methods, such as in various diagnostic (e.g., in as a probe in immunoassays or immunochromatography), or therapeutic methods.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups IV – VII are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other. Group IV requires a method of detecting a protein with an antibody, which is not required by any of the other groups. Group V requires a method for detecting a polynucleotide, which is a fundamentally different process than that of group IV and is not required by any of the other groups. Group VI requires methods of identifying binding partners of a polypeptide and/or modulating its activity, which is not

Application/Control Number: 09/898,570

Art Unit: 1646

required by any of the other groups. Group VII requires methods of treatment, which is not required of any of the other groups.

The polypeptides of Group I are related to the methods of Groups IV, VI, VII as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of Group I are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups IV, VI, VII because the polypeptides can be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the methods because, as discussed above, each of the methods of Groups IV, VI, VII are materially and functionally distinct from the others. Furthermore, the polypeptides of Group I and the method of Group V are patentably distinct because one is not required for the use of the other.

The polynucleotides of Group II are related to the methods of Groups V-VII as product and process of use. In the instant case the polynucleotides of are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups V-VII because the polynucleotides can be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the methods because, as discussed above, each of the methods of Groups V-VII are materially and functionally distinct from the others. Furthermore, the polynucleotides of Group II and the methods of Group IV are patentably distinct because one is not required for the use of the other.

The antibodies of Group III are related to the methods of Groups IV, VI, VII as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another

materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibodies are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups IV, VI, VII because the antibodies can be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the methods because, as discussed above, each of the methods of Groups IV, VI, VII are materially and functionally distinct from the others. Furthermore, the antibodies of Group III and the method of Group V are patentably distinct because one is not required for the use of the other.

Claims 1-82 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polypeptides of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, and polynucleotide encoding same. Each polypeptide and each polynucleotide are distinct and divergent molecules, the use of one not being required for the of any other. Furthermore, a search of one could not be relied upon, solely, to provide art that is anticipatory or that might render obvious any other, and to search more than one species in a single application would be unduly burdensome. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,570

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0871.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

LORRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER

MB

April 20, 2004